

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T		T		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,365	12/12/2003	David Proulx JR:	225518	1813	
23460	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER		
	OIT & MAYER, LTD	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S			
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			•	
·			DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A .: /: b.	A 15 A/ - \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/735,365	PROULX, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher S. Kim	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	bruary 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,	/ -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/735,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 24, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claim.12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemetyinen et al. (5,664,731) in view of Kettlety et al. (4,867,870) and Wick (5,746,250).

Lemetyinen discloses a shower head spray device comprising: an elongated spray header 12; a drain opening 11; a valve 10. Lemetyinen discloses, in column 3, line 58, a rotation means to rotate shaft 13 but does not discloses a motor. Motors are well known in the art for imparting rotational motion. Kettley discloses an electric motor 28 and programmable logic controller 40 to rotate brush 26 in spray header 20. Applicant discloses, in his specification, on page 6, paragraph [0027], that a motor having a microprocessor is well known and available from Oden Control AB in Sweden.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the motor of Oden Control AB as the rotation means in the device of Lemetyinen as taught by Kettley for automation.

Additionally, Lemetyinen discloses neither a local controller nor a central operation controller. Wick teaches a plurality of motors 66, 68, 70 controlled by a programmable controller 64. The programmable controller 64 has a local controller (the portion of controller 64 that produces a plurality of unique activation signals to control motors 66, 68, 70) and a central operation controller (the portion of controller 64 in which the user programs information). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the controller of Wick to control the device of Lemetyinen for automation

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12, 15 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752